## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

|            | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |
|------------|---------------------------|
| 8:23CR77   | Plaintiff,                |
| 0,20 01(1) | VS.                       |
| ORDER      | JASON E. SHADDEN,         |
|            | Defendant.                |
|            |                           |

Defendant has moved to continue the trial, (Filing No. 29), because Defendant needs to consider and decide whether to go to trial, enter a guilty plea, or determine if some other resolution may be reached. The motion to continue is unopposed. The undersigned magistrate judge held a telephone conference with the attorneys regarding the motion on November 16, 2023. Based on the showing set forth in the motion, and the comments of counsel on the telephone conference, the court finds the motion should be granted. Accordingly,

## IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Defendant's Unopposed Motion to Continue, (Filing No. 29), is granted.
- 2) The trial of this case is continued pending further order of the court.
- A telephonic conference with counsel will be held before the undersigned magistrate judge at 11:30 a.m. on December 8, 2023 to discuss setting any change of plea hearing or the date of the jury trial. Counsel for all parties shall use the conferencing instructions provided by the court to participate in the call.
- In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), the court finds that the ends of justice will be served by granting this continuance and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Any additional time arising as a result of the granting of this motion, that is, the time between **November 16, 2023 and December 8, 2023**, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv). Failing to timely object to this order as provided under this court's local rules will be deemed a waiver of any right to later claim the time should not have been excluded under the Speedy Trial Act.

Dated this 17th day of November, 2023.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Susan M. Bazis United States Magistrate Judge